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## 1. GENERALLY ON SYMBOLIC EXTENSIONS

Let $(X, T)$ be a topological dynamical system, i.e., a continuous map on a compact metric space.

QUESTION 1: Is $(X, T)$ a factor of a subshift?
In other words, does there exist a symbolic extension of $(X, T)$ ?

QUESTION 2: If yes, what is the infimum entropy of such a subshift?
Is this infimum attained?

A symbolic extension can be thought of as a lossless "digitalization" of the system.
In ergodic theory finite entropy is the only restriction to get an isomorphic symbolic representation (Krieger's Generator Theorem).
In topological dynamics this problem is much more complicated.
Finite partition coding usually destroys the topology and leads to loss of information.
Symbolic extension is the only solution that preserves everything (but adds "unwanted" dynamics).
Existence of symbolic (equivalently of an expansive) extension depends on subtle entropy properties.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}(X, T)=\inf \left\{\mathbf{h}_{\text {top }}(Y, S):(Y, S) \text { is a two-sided subshift extension of }(X, T)\right\} \\
& \mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}(X, T)=\infty \text { if }(X, T) \text { has no symbolic extensions }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{T}(X)$ denote the set of all $T$-invariant measures $\mu$ on $X$.
Let $\pi:(Y, S) \rightarrow(X, T)$ be a factor map.
Define

$$
h^{\pi}(\mu)=\sup \left\{h_{\nu}(S): \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{S}(Y), \pi^{*}(\nu)=\mu\right\}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\text {sex }}(\mu) & =\inf \left\{h^{\pi}(\mu): \pi \text { is a two-sided subshift extension of }(X, T)\right\} \\
h_{\text {sex }} & \equiv \infty \text { if }(X, T) \text { has no symbolic extensions }
\end{aligned}
$$

PROBLEM 1.
Compute (or estimate) $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}$ and $h_{\text {sex }}$ for a given system ( $X, T$ ) using its internal properties.
PROBLEM 2.
Estimate $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}$ and $h_{\text {sex }}$ for smooth maps on manifolds $\left(C^{r}, 1 \leq r \leq \infty\right)$.

## 2. HISTORY

1. Every expansive $T$ has a symbolic extension (W. Reddy, 1968)
2. Not every finite entropy homeomorphism admits a symbolic extension
(M. Boyle ~1990, published with D. Fiebig and U. Fiebig, 2002)
3. Formula for $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}$ in dimension zero (T.D., 2001), in particular asymptotically $h$-expansive zero entropy systems have symbolic extensions with the same topological entropy.
4. Asymptotically $h$-expansive systems have symbolic extensions with the same entropy for every measure, i.e., $h_{\text {sex }} \equiv h$ (principal symbolic extension) (Boyle-Fiebig-Fiebig 2002)
This applies to $C^{\infty}$ maps on manifolds (J. Buzzi, 1997).
5. Complete general description of $h_{\text {sex }}$ in terms of "superenvelopes" and the "transfinite sequence" (M. Boyle, T.D., 2004)
in particular:
Sex entropy variational principle

$$
\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}(X, T)=\sup \left\{h_{\text {sex }}(\mu): \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{T}(X)\right\}
$$

And also:
Attainment criterion (existence of a symbolic extension $\pi$ realizing $h^{\pi} \equiv h_{\text {sex }}$ ): if and only $h_{\text {sex }}$ is affine.
6. Theory of entropy structures (T.D., 2005)
(we will refer to it later)

State of art for smooth systems

1. $C^{\infty}$ implies asymptotic $h$-expansiveness which is equivalent to the condition $h_{\text {sex }} \equiv h$ (implying $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}=\mathbf{h}_{\text {top }}$ ).

Successful application of entropy structures to smooth systems in dimension $\geq 2$ (T.D., S. Newhouse, 2005):
2. $C^{1}$ admits systems with no symbolic extensions $\left(\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}=\infty\right)$ - such systems are typical among area preserving non-Anosov diffeomorphisms.
3. $C^{r}(1<r<\infty)$ admits systems where $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}>\mathbf{h}_{\text {top }}$, typically $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }} \geq \mathbf{h}_{t o p}+\frac{R(f)}{r-1}$ $\left(R(f)=\lim \sup \frac{1}{n} \log \left\|D f^{n}\right\|\right)$
CONJECTURE: $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }} \leq \mathbf{h}_{\text {top }}+\frac{R(f)}{r-1}$.
In particular, every $C^{r}$ map with $r>1$ has a symbolic extension (is a factor of a subshift).
4. (David Burguet, 2008): $C^{r}$ examples on the interval with $\mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }} \geq \mathbf{h}_{t o p}+\frac{R(f)}{r-1}$.

## 3. LATEST PROGRESS

(T.D., A. Maass) The conjecture holds in dimension 1 (interval, circle).

More precisely, the following estimate holds

## Theorem

If $X$ denotes the interval or the circle and $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a $C^{r}$ map $(1 \leq r \leq \infty)$, then for every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$,

$$
h_{s e x}(\mu) \leq h_{\mu}(T)+\frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}
$$

$\left(\chi_{0}(\mu)\right.$ for ergodic $\mu$ equals the maximum of 0 and the Lyapunov exponent; for other measures $\bar{\chi}_{0}$ is the average of $\chi_{0}$ over the ergodic decomposition).

In particular,
every $C^{1+\epsilon}$ interval or circle map is a factor of a subshift.

## 4. INTRODUCTION TO ENTROPY STRUCTURES

I will NOT give the general definition of the entropy structure.
There are, however, many "particular" entropy structures.
Each is a sequence of functions $h_{k}: \mathcal{P}_{T}(X) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, such that $h_{k} \nearrow h$ pointwise.
Sometimes it is better to consider the tails $\theta_{k}=h-h_{k}$. We have $\theta_{k} \searrow 0$ pointwise.
The derivation of $h_{\text {sex }}$ from the entropy structure is via the "transfinite sequence".

Step 0:

$$
u_{0} \equiv 0
$$

Step $\alpha+1$ :

$$
\left.u_{\alpha+1}=\lim _{k} \widetilde{u_{\alpha}+\theta_{k}} \quad \text { (recall that } \tilde{f}(x)=\limsup _{y \rightarrow x} f(y)\right)
$$

Step $\beta$ (limit ordinal): $\quad u_{\beta}=\sup \widetilde{p_{\alpha<\beta}} u_{\alpha}$

## Theorem

There exists a countable ordinal $\alpha_{0}$ such that $u_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha_{0}}$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_{0}$, and

$$
h_{\text {sex }}=h+u_{\alpha_{0}}, \quad \mathbf{h}_{\text {sex }}=\sup _{\mathcal{P}_{T}(X)}\left(h+u_{\alpha_{0}}\right) .
$$

By the way, the famous Misiurewicz parameter $\mathbf{h}^{*}$ equals the pointwise supremum of the function $u_{1}$.

The Newhouse entropy structure
Definition. (Newhouse, 1989)
(a) $H(n, \delta \mid x, F, \mathcal{V}):=\log \max \left\{\# E: E\right.$ is an $(n, \delta)$-separated set in $\left.F \cap V_{x}^{n}\right\}$;
(b) $H(n, \delta \mid F, \mathcal{V}):=\sup _{x \in F} H(n, \delta \mid x, F, \mathcal{V})$;
(c) $h(\delta \mid F, \mathcal{V}):=\lim \sup _{n} \frac{1}{n} H(n, \delta \mid F, \mathcal{V})$;
(d) $h(X \mid F, \mathcal{V}):=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} h(\delta \mid F, \mathcal{V})$;
(e) for an ergodic measure $\nu, h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}):=\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow 1} \inf \{h(X \mid F, \mathcal{V}): \nu(F)>\sigma\}$.

We extend the function $h^{\text {New }}(X \mid \cdot, \mathcal{V})$ to all of $\mathcal{P}_{T}(X)$ by averaging over the ergodic decomposition. This function is called the local entropy function given the cover $\mathcal{V}$.

The Newhouse entropy structure is obtained as the sequence

$$
\theta_{k}(\mu)=h^{N e w}\left(X \mid \mu, \mathcal{V}_{k}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{k}$ is a sequence of open covers, each finer than the preceding one, and with the maximal diameters of their elements decreasing to zero.
This is indeed an entropy structure (T.D. 2005).

## 5. KEY INGREDIENT IN THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL RESULT:

## The Antarctic Theorem

Let $f$ be a $C^{r}$ transformation of the interval or of the circle $X$, where $r>1$.
Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ and fix some $\gamma>0$.
Then there exists an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of $X$ and a neighborhood of $\mu$ in $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ such that for every ergodic $\nu$ in this neighborhood,

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\chi_{0}(\mu)-\chi_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma
$$

where

$$
\chi(\mu)=\int \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \mu, \quad \chi_{0}(\mu)=\max \{0, \chi(\mu)\}
$$

How one proves such a thing?


One needs to cleverly choose the cover $\mathcal{V}$ and the set $F$ of measure $\nu$ close to 1 : $\mathcal{V}$ consists of one open set containing all critical points and finitely many intervals on which $f$ is monotone.

$F$ is the set where for $n$ large enough the Cesaro means of the function $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ are close to $\chi(\nu)$.

Then the key calculation is in the following lemma:

## Lemma

There exists a constant $c$ such that for every $s>0$, the number of monotone branches where $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ exceeds $s$ is at most

$$
c \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r-1}}
$$

6. Deducing the main result from the Antarctic Theorem

First, using a lot of functional analysis, we get rid of the assumption that $\nu$ is ergodic replacing the function $\chi_{0}$ by $\bar{\chi}_{0}$ (this is The Passage Theorem): for $\nu$ near $\mu$,

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)-\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma .
$$

Observe that also

$$
h^{\text {New }}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq h(\nu) \leq \bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu),
$$

hence

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \min \left\{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu), \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)-\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma\right\}
$$



Together this implies

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r}+\gamma
$$

(which is a refinement of Yomdin's global estimate by $\frac{R(f)}{r}$ ).

This implies

$$
u_{1}(\mu) \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}
$$

Suppose

$$
u_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}
$$

Then, near a measure $\mu$

$$
u_{\alpha}(\nu)+h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\min \left\{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu), \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)-\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma\right\} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}+\gamma
$$


which implies

$$
u_{\alpha+1} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}
$$

For limit ordinals the passage is trivial: if $u_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}$ for all $\alpha<\beta$ then

$$
u_{\beta}=\widetilde{\sup _{\alpha<\beta} u_{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}
$$

because the function on the right is u.s.c.
Eventually, $u_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1}$ for all ordinals including $\alpha_{0}$.
Using the transfinite formula we get the desired result:

$$
h_{s e x}(\mu)=h(\mu)+u_{\alpha_{0}}(\mu) \leq h(\mu)+\frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)}{r-1} .
$$

## PROOF OF THE ANTARCTIC THEOREM

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\chi_{0}(\mu)-\chi_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma
$$

(4.1) Lemma. Let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^{r}$ function, where $r>0$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for every $0<s<1$ the number of components of the set $\{x: g(x) \neq 0\}$ on which $|g|$ reaches or exceeds the value $s$ is at most $c \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r}}$.
Proof. If $g$ has a constant sign then there is only one component and the lemma holds with $c=1$. Otherwise we proceed inductively, as follows: For $0<r \leq 1, g$ is Hölder, i.e., there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ such that $|g(x)-g(y)| \leq c_{1}|x-y|^{r}$. If $|g(x)| \geq s$ and $y$ is a zero point for $g$ then

$$
|x-y| \geq c_{1}{ }^{-\frac{1}{r}} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

The component containing $x$ is at least that long and the number of such components is at most $c \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r}}$, where $c=c_{1}{ }^{\frac{1}{r}}$.

Now take $r>1$ and suppose that the lemma holds for $r-1$. Let $g$ be of class $C^{r}$. We count the components $I=\left(a_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ of $\{x: g(x) \neq 0\}$ where $|g|$ exceeds $s$. Unless $a_{I}=0$ or $b_{I}=1, I$ contains a critical point. Let $x_{I}$ denote the largest critical point $x \in I$ satisfying $|g(x)| \geq s$. Unless $I$ is the last or last but one component, there is a critical point larger than or equal to $b_{I}$. Let $y_{I}$ be the smallest such critical point. So, except for at most three components, $I$ determines an interval $\left(x_{I}, y_{I}\right)$.


Notice that these intervals are disjoint for different $I$. There are two possible cases: either
a) $y_{I}-x_{I}>s^{\frac{1}{r}}$, or
b) $y_{I}-x_{I} \leq s^{\frac{1}{r}}$.

Clearly, the number of components $I$ satisfying a) is smaller than $s^{-\frac{1}{r}}$. If a component satisfies b) then, by the mean value theorem, $\left|g^{\prime}\right|$ attains on $\left(x_{I}, b_{I}\right)$ a value at least $s / s^{\frac{1}{r}}=s^{\frac{r-1}{r}}$. This value is attained on a component of the set $\left\{x: g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ contained in $\left(x_{I}, y_{I}\right)$. Because $g^{\prime}$ is of class $C^{r-1}$, by the inductive assumption, the number of such intervals ( $x_{I}, y_{I}$ ) (hence of components $I$ satisfying b)) does not exceed $c \cdot\left(s^{\frac{r-1}{r}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{r-1}}=c \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r}}$. Jointly, the number of all components $I$ is at most $3+(c+1) \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r}} \leq(c+4) \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r}}$.

Letting $g=f^{\prime}$ we obtain the following
(4.2) Corollary. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{r}$ function, where $r>1$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for every $s>0$ the number of branches of monotonicity of $f$ on which $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ reaches or exceeds $s$ is at most $c \cdot s^{-\frac{1}{r-1}}$.
(4.3) Definition. Let $f$ be as in the formulation of Corollary (4.2). Let $\mathcal{I}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n}\right)$ be a finite sequence of branches of monotonicity of $f$, (i.e., any formal finite sequence whose elements belong to the countable set of branches, admitting repetitions). Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=\min \left\{-1, \max \left\{\log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|: x \in I_{i}\right\}\right\} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $S \leq-1$. We say that $\mathcal{I}$ admits the value $S$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \geq S \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, if there exists a sequence of points $y_{i} \in I_{i}$ with $\log \left|f^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)\right| \leq-1$ for each $i$ and satisfying $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)\right| \geq S$, then $\mathcal{I}$ admits the value $S$.
For $t \in(0,1)$ we will use the notation $H(t)=-t \log t-(1-t) \log (1-t)$. Recall that this positive function approaches zero both at 0 and at 1 . The standard application of Stirling's formula yields that for $m \geq n$ the logarithm of the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{n}$ is bounded above by $m H\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)+1$.
(4.6) Lemma. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{r}$ function, where $r>1$. Fix $\gamma>0$. Then there exists $S_{\gamma} \leq-1$ such that for every $n$ and $S<S_{\gamma}$ the logarithm of the number of sequences $\mathcal{I}$ of length $n$ which admit the value $S$ is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \frac{-S}{r-1}(1+\gamma) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that $S$ is a negative integer. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a sequence of $n$ branches of monotonicity which admits the value $S$. Denote $k_{i}=\left\lfloor a_{i}\right\rfloor$. Then $\left(-k_{i}\right)$ is a sequence of $n$ positive integers with sum at most $n(1-S)$. The number of such sequences $\left(k_{i}\right)$ is bounded above by $\binom{n(1-S)}{n}$, and the logarithm of this number is dominated by $n(1-S) H\left(\frac{1}{1-S}\right)+1$. Now, in a given sequence $\left(k_{i}\right)$, each value $k_{i}$ may be realized by any branch of monotonicity on which max $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ lies between $k_{i}$ and $k_{i}+1$ (or just exceeds -1 if $k_{i}=-1$ ). From Corollary (4.2) it follows that there are no more than $c e^{\frac{-k_{i}}{r-1}}$ such branches. Jointly the logarithm of the number of sequences of branches of monotonicity corresponding to one sequence $\left(k_{i}\right)$ is at most $n \log c-\frac{1}{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \leq$ $n \log c+\frac{n}{r-1}(1-S)$, and the logarithm of the number of all sequences of branches of monotonicity which admit the value $S$ is at most

$$
n \log c+\frac{n}{r-1}(1-S)+n(1-S) H\left(\frac{1}{1-S}\right)+1
$$

If $S$ is close enough to minus infinity then the last expression does not exceed $n \frac{-S}{r-1}(1+\gamma)$ for any $n$.

Let us return to the transformation $T$ of the interval or of the circle. In both cases the derivative $f^{\prime}$ of the associated function $f$ can be regarded as a function defined on the interval $[0,1]$. Let $C=\left\{x: f^{\prime}(x)=0\right\}$ be the critical set. Fix $\gamma>0$. Fix some open neighborhood $U$ of $C$ on which $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|<S_{\gamma}$. Notice that $U^{c}$ can be covered by finitely many open intervals on which $f$ is monotone. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the cover consisting of $U$ and these intervals.

(4.8) Lemma. Let $T$ be a $C^{r}$ transformation of the interval or of the circle $X$, where $r>1$. Let $U$ and $\mathcal{V}$ be as described above. Let $\nu$ be an ergodic measure and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\nu)=\int_{U} \log \left|f^{\prime}\right| d \nu \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{-S(\nu)}{r-1}(1+\gamma) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case of $S(\nu)$ finite. For $\sigma<1$ there exists $n_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the set $F$ has measure larger than $\sigma$, where $F$ is the set of points $y$ satisfying, for every $n \geq n_{\sigma}$, that the $n$th Cesaro mean at $y$ of the function $1_{U} \log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ equals $S(\nu)$ up to the error $1-\sigma$. Let $x \in F$ and $n \geq n_{\sigma}$. Consider a set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{x}^{n}=V_{0} \cap T^{-1}\left(V_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap T^{-n+1}\left(V_{n-1}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

containing $x$, with $V_{i} \in \mathcal{V}$ (as in the definition of local entropy). Consider the finite subsequence of times $0 \leq i_{j} \leq n-1$ when $V_{i_{j}}=U$. Let $n \zeta$ denote the length of this subsequence and assume $\zeta>0$. For a fixed $\delta$ let $E$ be an $(n, \delta)$-separated set in $V_{x}^{n} \cap F$ and let $y \in E$. The sequence $\left(i_{j}\right)$ contains only (usually not all) times $i$ when $f^{i}(y) \in U$. Thus, since $y \in F$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\nu) \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{j} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(T^{i_{j}}(y)\right)\right|+A\right)+1-\sigma \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the similar sum over the times of visits to $U$ not included in the sequence $\left(i_{j}\right)$. Clearly $A \leq 0$, so it can be skipped. Dividing by $\zeta$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S(\nu)-(1-\sigma)}{\zeta} \leq \frac{1}{n \zeta} \sum_{j} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(T^{i_{j}}(y)\right)\right| . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side of (4.13) is smaller than $S_{\gamma}$. This implies that along the subsequence $\left(i_{j}\right)$ the trajectory of $y$ traverses a sequence $\mathcal{I}$ (of length $n \zeta$ ) of branches of monotonicity of $f$ admitting the value $\frac{S(\nu)-(1-\sigma)}{\zeta}$ smaller than $S_{\gamma}$. By Lemma (4.6), the logarithm of the number of such sequences $\mathcal{I}$ is dominated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \frac{-S(\nu)+(1-\sigma)}{r-1}(1+\gamma) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At times $i$ other than $i_{j}$ the set $V_{i}$ contains only one branch, so if two points from $V_{x}^{n} \cap F$ traverse the same sequence of branches along the times $\left(i_{j}\right)$, they traverse the same full sequence of branches along all times $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ (this takes care also of the case when $\zeta=0$ ). Now, it is easy to see that the number of $(n, \delta)$-separated points which, along all times $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, traverse the same given sequence of branches of monotonicity is bounded above by $\frac{n}{\delta}$. This, together with (4.14), implies that the logarithm of the cardinality of $E$ is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \frac{-S(\nu)+(1-\sigma)}{r-1}(1+\gamma)+\log n-\log \delta \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is concluded by dividing by $n$, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1$.

Proof of the Antarctic Theorem. Fix an invariant measure $\mu$ and some $\gamma>0$. We need to consider only ergodic measures $\nu$ close to $\mu$. If $\chi(\mu)<0$ then, by upper semicontinuity of the function $\chi$, for $\nu$ sufficiently close to $\mu, \chi(\nu)<0$, so by the Ruelle inequality (and since always $h^{\text {New }}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq h(\mu)$ ), $h^{\text {New }}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V})=0$ and the assertion (3.2) holds.
Now suppose that $\chi(\mu) \geq 0$. Clearly, then $\mu(C)=0$. Since $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\mu$-integrable, for any given $\gamma_{1}$ the open neighborhood $U$ of $C$ (on which $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|<S_{\gamma_{1}}$ ) can be made so small that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{U}} \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \mu>-\gamma_{1} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{U}^{c}} \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \mu<\chi(\mu)+\gamma_{1} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the cover $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ as $\mathcal{V}$ with the above choice of the set $U$ (the parameter $\gamma_{1}$ will be specified at the end of the proof). The integral in (4.17) is an upper semicontinuous function of the measure ( $\bar{U}^{c}$ is an open set on which $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is finite and continuous and negative on the boundary). Thus there exists $\epsilon_{\mu}>0$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\nu, \mu)<\epsilon_{\mu}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{U}^{c}} \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \nu<\chi(\mu)+\gamma_{1} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The more

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U^{c}} \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \nu<\chi(\mu)+\gamma_{1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we have included the boundary to the set of integration, and the function is negative on that boundary). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-S(\nu)=\int_{U^{c}} \log \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| d \nu-\chi(\nu) \leq \chi(\mu)-\chi(\nu)+\gamma_{1} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.20) into (4.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{N e w}\left(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\right) \leq \frac{\chi(\mu)-\chi(\nu)+\gamma_{1}}{r-1}\left(1+\gamma_{1}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, $\chi(\mu)$ can be replaced by a not smaller number $\chi_{0}(\mu)$. If $\chi(\nu)<0$ then $h^{\text {New }}\left(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\right)=$ $0 \leq \frac{\chi_{0}(\mu)-\chi_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}$, so, in any case we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{N e w}\left(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}_{\mu}\right) \leq \frac{\chi_{0}(\mu)-\chi_{0}(\nu)+\gamma_{1}}{r-1}\left(1+\gamma_{1}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $\frac{\chi_{0}(\mu)-\chi_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}$ is bounded above (for example by $\frac{\mathbf{L}(T)}{r-1}$ ), given $\gamma>0$ we can choose $\gamma_{1}$ so small that the assertion (3.2) holds.

## PROOF OF THE PASSAGE THEOREM

For every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{T}(X)$ and $\gamma>0$ there exist an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ such that for ANY $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{T}(X)$ sufficiently close to $\mu$,

$$
h^{N e w}(X \mid \nu, \mathcal{V}) \leq \frac{\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)-\bar{\chi}_{0}(\nu)}{r-1}+\gamma,
$$

where $\bar{\chi}_{0}(\mu)$ is the average of $\chi_{0}$ over the ergodic decomposition of $\mu$.
(4.26) Lemma. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a compact metric space (with a metric dist) and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all probability measures on $\mathcal{P}$ endowed with the weak* topology given by a metric Dist. Fix some $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\epsilon>0$. Then there exists $\xi>0$ such that for any $N \in \mathcal{M}$, $\operatorname{Dist}(N, M)<\xi$ implies that there exists a joining $J$ of $N$ and $M$ (i.e., a measure on the product $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$ with marginals $N$ and $M)$ such that $J\left(\Delta^{\epsilon}\right)>1-\epsilon$, where $\Delta^{\epsilon}=\{\langle\nu, \tau\rangle \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}: \operatorname{dist}(\nu, \tau)<\epsilon\}$.

Proof. There exists a partition of $\mathcal{P}$ into finitely many Borel sets $F_{i}$ with the following properties: $\operatorname{diam}\left(F_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ and $M\left(\partial F_{i}\right)=0$, for every $i$. (Here $\partial F$ denotes the boundary of a set $F$.) Then every $N \in \mathcal{M}$ sufficiently close to $M$ satisfies $\sum_{i}\left|N\left(F_{i}\right)-M\left(F_{i}\right)\right|<\epsilon$. For each $i$ let $\alpha_{i}=$ $\min \left\{N\left(F_{i}\right), M\left(F_{i}\right)\right\}$ and let $J^{\prime}$ be the subprobabilistic measure obtained as the sum of the product measures $\left.N\right|_{F_{i}} \times\left. M\right|_{F_{i}}$ normalized so that $J^{\prime}\left(F_{i} \times F_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}$. The marginals of $J^{\prime}$ are subprobabilistic measures $M^{\prime}$ and $N^{\prime}$ such that $M-M^{\prime}$ and $N-N^{\prime}$ are positive with equal masses $\beta$ not exceeding $\epsilon$. The joining $J$ is obtained as the sum of $J^{\prime}$ and of $\left(M-M^{\prime}\right) \times\left(N-N^{\prime}\right)$ normalized to have the mass $\beta$.

(4.27) Corollary. In a topological dynamical system $(X, T)$, let $\mu, \nu_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{T}(X)$, and $\nu_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ in the weak* topology. Choosing a subsequence we can assume that $M_{\nu_{n}}$ converge to some $M$. By continuity of the barycenter map, $\operatorname{bar}(M)=\mu$. Then, given any $\epsilon>0$, for $n$ large enough, there exists a joining $J$ of $M_{\nu_{n}}$ and $M$ such that $J\left(\Delta_{e}^{\epsilon}\right)>1-\epsilon$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{e}^{\epsilon}=\left\{\langle\nu, \tau\rangle \in \mathcal{P}_{T}(X) \times \mathcal{P}_{T}(X): \nu \text { is ergodic and } \operatorname{dist}(\nu, \tau)<\epsilon\right\} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The added condition that $\nu$ is ergodic is satisfied since each measure $M_{\nu_{n}}$ is, by definition, supported by the set of ergodic measures.)

Proof of the Passage Theorem. Suppose that there exists $\gamma>0$ and a sequence $\nu_{n}$ converging to $\mu$, and which, for any choice of an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ and $\epsilon>0$, eventually does not satisfy the assertion (4.25) of the theorem. By choosing a subsequence we can assume that $M_{\nu_{n}} \rightarrow M$ with $\operatorname{bar}(M)=\mu$. Let $\gamma_{1}$ be such that $\gamma_{1}(1+3 \mathbf{h}) \leq \gamma$. For every $\tau$ in the support of $M$ there is some open cover $\mathcal{V}_{\tau}$ and $\epsilon_{\tau}>0$ established in the assumption applied to $\gamma_{1}$ and $\tau$, (so that (4.24) is fulfilled with $\gamma$ replaced by $\gamma_{1}$ and $\mu$ replaced by $\tau$ ). For each $\tau$ the Lebesgue number of $\mathcal{V}_{\tau}$ is a positive number $\xi_{\tau}$. Let $\epsilon<\gamma_{1}^{2}$ be so small that $\epsilon_{\tau}>\epsilon$ and $\xi_{\tau}>\epsilon$ for all $\tau$ belonging to a set $G_{1}$ of $M$-measure larger than $1-\gamma_{1}$. We let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open cover by sets of diameter smaller than $\epsilon$. This cover is finer than $\mathcal{V}_{\tau}$ for each $\tau \in G_{1}$, hence (4.24) holds for such $\tau, \mathcal{V}$ and $\epsilon$. By Corollary (4.27), for $n$ large enough there exists a joining $J$ of $M_{\nu_{n}}$ and $M$ satisfying $J\left(\Delta_{e}^{\epsilon}\right)>1-\epsilon$. Let $J_{\tau}$ be the conditional probability measure of $J$ with $\tau$ fixed on the second coordinate, and let $\nu_{\tau}$ denote $\operatorname{bar}\left(J_{\tau}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \nu_{\tau} d M(\tau)=\nu_{n} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for almost every $\tau, J_{\tau}$ is supported by ergodic measures $\nu$. Moreover, for a set $G_{2}$ of $M$-measure at least $1-\sqrt{\epsilon}>1-\gamma_{1}$ of $\tau$ 's, $J_{\tau}$ is up to $\sqrt{\epsilon}<\gamma_{1}$ supported by the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $\tau$. These conditions together imply that for $\tau \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}$ (of $M$-measure at least $1-2 \gamma_{1}$ ) all but a set of $J_{\tau}$-measure $\gamma_{1}$ of measures $\nu$ are ergodic and so close to $\tau$ that they satisfy (4.24) with the parameters $\left(\gamma_{1}, \mathcal{V}, \epsilon, \nu, \tau\right)$ in place of $\left(\gamma, \mathcal{V}_{\mu}, \epsilon_{\mu}, \nu, \mu\right)$. Since local entropy is (by definition) harmonic, integrating both sides of (4.24) with respect to $J_{\tau}$ we obtain (for $\tau \in G_{1} \cap G_{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{N e w}\left(X \mid \nu_{\tau}, \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \frac{g_{0}(\tau)-\bar{g}_{0}\left(\nu_{\tau}\right)}{r-1}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{1} \mathbf{h} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(on the "bad" set of $\nu$ 's the local entropy is estimated above by h). Now we integrate both sides of (4.30) over $\tau$ with respect to $M$. The term $g_{0}(\tau)$ will integrate to not more than the maximum over all measures $M$ with barycenter $\mu$. Such maximum was denoted as $\hat{g}_{0}(\mu)$. But recall (see Fact (2.5), in particular (2.8)) that this maximum is achieved at $M_{\mu}$ and equals $\bar{g}_{0}(\mu)$. The function $\bar{g}_{0}$ is harmonic, so, by (4.29), the term $\bar{g}_{0}\left(\nu_{\tau}\right)$ will integrate to $\bar{g}_{0}\left(\nu_{n}\right)$. Similarly will behave the left hand side. In this manner we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\text {New }}\left(X \mid \nu_{n}, \mathcal{V}\right) \leq \frac{\bar{g}_{0}(\mu)-\bar{g}_{0}\left(\nu_{n}\right)}{r-1}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{1} \mathbf{h}+2 \gamma_{1} \mathbf{h} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

(again, on the complement of $G_{1} \cap G_{2}$ local entropy is estimated above by $\mathbf{h}$ ). By the choice of $\gamma_{1}$ we have contradicted the assumption that $\nu_{n}$ eventually does not satisfy the assertion (4.25) for $\gamma$, $\mathcal{V}$ and $\epsilon$.

