

Random walks
are completely determined
by their trace
on the positive half-line

Mateusz Kwaśnicki

Wrocław University of Science and Technology

`mateusz.kwasnicki@pwr.edu.pl`

Guanajuato, Nov 29, 2017

Main Theorem

Random walks
are completely determined
by their trace
on the positive half-line

A few remarks

- Acknowledgement: Loïc Chaumont from Angers, France.

A few remarks

- Acknowledgement: Loïc Chaumont from Angers, France.
- SPA 2017 conference
(*The 39th Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, Moscow, Jul 24–28, 2017)

A few remarks

- Acknowledgement: Loïc Chaumont from Angers, France.
- SPA 2017 conference
(*The 39th Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, Moscow, Jul 24–28, 2017)



Loïc Chaumont, Ron Doney

On distributions determined by their upward, space-time Wiener–Hopf factor

[arXiv:1702.00067](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00067)

A few remarks

- Acknowledgement: Loïc Chaumont from Angers, France.
- SPA 2017 conference
(*The 39th Conference on Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, Moscow, Jul 24–28, 2017)



Loïc Chaumont, Ron Doney

On distributions determined by their upward, space-time Wiener–Hopf factor

[arXiv:1702.00067](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00067)



V. Vigon

Simplifiez vos Lévy en titillant la factorisation de Wiener–Hopf

PhD thesis, INSA de Rouen, 2001

Random walks

- A **random walk** X_n is a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. random variables:

$$X_n = \Delta X_1 + \Delta X_2 + \dots + \Delta X_n,$$

where $\Delta X_1, \Delta X_2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed on \mathbb{R} .

Random walks

- A **random walk** X_n is a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. random variables:

$$X_n = \Delta X_1 + \Delta X_2 + \dots + \Delta X_n,$$

where $\Delta X_1, \Delta X_2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed on \mathbb{R} .

- We say that a random walk X_n is **non-trivial** if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0) \neq 0.$$

Random walks

- A **random walk** X_n is a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. random variables:

$$X_n = \Delta X_1 + \Delta X_2 + \dots + \Delta X_n,$$

where $\Delta X_1, \Delta X_2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed on \mathbb{R} .

- We say that a random walk X_n is **non-trivial** if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0) \neq 0.$$

- We write $A \stackrel{d}{=} B$ if $\mathbb{P}(A > t) = \mathbb{P}(B > t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Random walks

- A **random walk** X_n is a sequence of partial sums of i.i.d. random variables:

$$X_n = \Delta X_1 + \Delta X_2 + \dots + \Delta X_n,$$

where $\Delta X_1, \Delta X_2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed on \mathbb{R} .

- We say that a random walk X_n is **non-trivial** if

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 > 0) \neq 0.$$

- We write $A \stackrel{d}{=} B$ if $\mathbb{P}(A > t) = \mathbb{P}(B > t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Of course if $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} Y_1$, then $X_n \stackrel{d}{=} Y_n$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$; in this case we say that X_n and Y_n are **identical**.

Main theorem

Theorem

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n > t)$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \in (0, \infty)$, then the same is true for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (that is, X_n and Y_n are identical).

Main theorem

Theorem

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n > t)$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \in (0, \infty)$, then the same is true for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (that is, X_n and Y_n are identical).

- This was proved by Chaumont and Doney under additional conditions on X_n and Y_n :
 - ▶ if X_1 has exponential moments; or
 - ▶ if $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > t)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$; or
 - ▶ if X_1 has analytic density function on $(0, \infty)$.

Main theorem

Theorem

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n > t)$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \in (0, \infty)$, then the same is true for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (that is, X_n and Y_n are identical).

- This was proved by Chaumont and Doney under additional conditions on X_n and Y_n :
 - ▶ if X_1 has exponential moments; or
 - ▶ if $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > t)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$; or
 - ▶ if X_1 has analytic density function on $(0, \infty)$.
- This covers a majority of interesting examples.

Main theorem

Theorem

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > t) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n > t)$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $t \in (0, \infty)$, then the same is true for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (that is, X_n and Y_n are identical).

- This was proved by Chaumont and Doney under additional conditions on X_n and Y_n :
 - ▶ if X_1 has exponential moments; or
 - ▶ if $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > t)$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$; or
 - ▶ if X_1 has analytic density function on $(0, \infty)$.
- This covers a majority of interesting examples.
- It is often enough to take $n = 1, 2$ in the assumption.

Simple reformulation

Theorem (equivalent version)

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\max\{0, X_n\} \stackrel{d}{=} \max\{0, Y_n\}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} Y_n$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Some fluctuation theory

- Define $\bar{X}_n = \max\{0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$.

Some fluctuation theory

- Define $\bar{X}_n = \max\{0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$.
- **Spitzer's formula:** if $|w| < 1$ and $\text{Im } z \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E} \exp(iz\bar{X}_n)) w^n = \exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \exp(iz \max\{0, X_n\})}{n} w^n\right).$$

Some fluctuation theory

- Define $\bar{X}_n = \max\{0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$.
- **Spitzer's formula:** if $|w| < 1$ and $\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{E} \exp(iz\bar{X}_n)) w^n = \exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E} \exp(iz \max\{0, X_n\})}{n} w^n\right).$$

- Knowing the distributions of \bar{X}_n is thus equivalent to knowing the distributions of $\max\{0, X_n\}$.

Another reformulation

Theorem (equivalent version)

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks, and

$$\overline{X}_n \stackrel{d}{=} \overline{Y}_n$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} Y_n$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Some more fluctuation theory

- Let N be the smallest number n such that $\bar{X}_n > 0$
(the first **ladder time**).

Some more fluctuation theory

- Let N be the smallest number n such that $\bar{X}_n > 0$
(the first **ladder time**).
- Let H be the the value of \bar{X}_n for $n = N$
(the first **ladder height**).

Some more fluctuation theory

- Let N be the smallest number n such that $\bar{X}_n > 0$
(the first **ladder time**).
- Let H be the the value of \bar{X}_n for $n = N$
(the first **ladder height**).
- Knowing the joint distribution of N and H , one can reconstruct the distributions of \bar{X}_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Some more fluctuation theory

- Let N be the smallest number n such that $\bar{X}_n > 0$
(the first **ladder time**).
- Let H be the the value of \bar{X}_n for $n = N$
(the first **ladder height**).
- Knowing the joint distribution of N and H , one can reconstruct the distributions of \bar{X}_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- The characteristic function of (N, H) is essentially the upward space-time **Wiener–Hopf factor**.

Some more fluctuation theory

- Let N be the smallest number n such that $\bar{X}_n > 0$
(the first **ladder time**).
- Let H be the the value of \bar{X}_n for $n = N$
(the first **ladder height**).
- Knowing the joint distribution of N and H , one can reconstruct the distributions of \bar{X}_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- The characteristic function of (N, H) is essentially the upward space-time **Wiener–Hopf factor**.

Theorem (equivalent version)

If X_n and Y_n are non-trivial random walks with equal upward space-time Wiener–Hopf factors, then X_n and Y_n are identical.

Lévy processes

- A Lévy process is, in some sense, a random walk in continuous time.

Lévy processes

- A Lévy process is, in some sense, a random walk in continuous time.
- Formally, X_t is a Lévy process if it has independent and stationary increments, and càdlàg paths.

Lévy processes

- A Lévy process is, in some sense, a random walk in continuous time.
- Formally, X_t is a Lévy process if it has independent and stationary increments, and càdlàg paths.

Corollary

If X_t and Y_t are non-trivial Lévy processes, and

$$\max\{0, X_t\} \stackrel{d}{=} \max\{0, Y_t\}$$

for all $t > 0$, then

$$X_t \stackrel{d}{=} Y_t$$

for all $t > 0$.

Lévy processes

- A Lévy process is, in some sense, a random walk in continuous time.
- Formally, X_t is a Lévy process if it has independent and stationary increments, and càdlàg paths.

Corollary

If X_t and Y_t are non-trivial Lévy processes, and

$$\max\{0, X_t\} \stackrel{d}{=} \max\{0, Y_t\} \quad (\text{or } \bar{X}_t \stackrel{d}{=} \bar{Y}_t)$$

for all $t > 0$, then

$$X_t \stackrel{d}{=} Y_t$$

for all $t > 0$.

Lévy processes

- A Lévy process is, in some sense, a random walk in continuous time.
- Formally, X_t is a Lévy process if it has independent and stationary increments, and càdlàg paths.

Corollary

If X_t and Y_t are non-trivial Lévy processes, and

$$\max\{0, X_t\} \stackrel{d}{=} \max\{0, Y_t\} \quad (\text{or } \bar{X}_t \stackrel{d}{=} \bar{Y}_t)$$

for all $t > 0$, then

$$X_t \stackrel{d}{=} Y_t$$

for all $t > 0$.

- Conjectured by Vigon, proved under extra assumptions by Chaumont and Doney

A variant for measures

- All measures below are finite signed Borel measures on \mathbb{R} .

A variant for measures

- All measures below are finite signed Borel measures on \mathbb{R} .
- The convolution of measures μ and ν is given by

$$(\mu * \nu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(A - x)\nu(dx).$$

Convulsive powers of μ are denoted by μ^n .

A variant for measures

- All measures below are finite signed Borel measures on \mathbb{R} .
- The convolution of measures μ and ν is given by

$$(\mu * \nu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(A - x)\nu(dx).$$

Convulsive powers of μ are denoted by μ^n .

- We say that a measure μ is **non-trivial** if the restriction of μ to $(0, \infty)$ is a non-zero measure.

A variant for measures

- All measures below are finite signed Borel measures on \mathbb{R} .
- The convolution of measures μ and ν is given by

$$(\mu * \nu)(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(A - x)\nu(dx).$$

Convulsive powers of μ are denoted by μ^n .

- We say that a measure μ is **non-trivial** if the restriction of μ to $(0, \infty)$ is a non-zero measure.

Theorem (extended version)

If μ and ν are non-trivial measures and

$$\mu^n(A) = \nu^n(A)$$

for all Borel $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\mu = \nu$.

Change of notation

- We assume that

$$\mu^n(A) = \nu^n(A)$$

for all Borel $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Change of notation

- We assume that

$$\mu^n(A) = \nu^n(A)$$

for all Borel $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- Considering $n = 1$, we see that the restrictions of μ and ν to $(0, \infty)$ agree.

Change of notation

- We assume that

$$\mu^n(A) = \nu^n(A)$$

for all Borel $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- Considering $n = 1$, we see that the restrictions of μ and ν to $(0, \infty)$ agree.
- Denote:

$$\alpha = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}\mu = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}\nu,$$

$$\beta = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}\mu,$$

$$\gamma = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}\nu.$$

Change of notation

- We assume that

$$\mu^n(A) = \nu^n(A)$$

for all Borel $A \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- Considering $n = 1$, we see that the restrictions of μ and ν to $(0, \infty)$ agree.
- Denote:

$$\alpha = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}\mu = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}\nu,$$

$$\beta = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}\mu,$$

$$\gamma = \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty, 0]}\nu.$$

- Now $\mu = \alpha + \beta$ and $\nu = \alpha + \gamma$.

Idea of the proof

- α is a non-zero measure concentrated on $(0, \infty)$, β and γ are concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$.

Idea of the proof

- α is a non-zero measure concentrated on $(0, \infty)$, β and γ are concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$.
- The proof consists of two steps:

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha + \beta)^n = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha + \gamma)^n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

\Downarrow (simple algebra)

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Idea of the proof

- α is a non-zero measure concentrated on $(0, \infty)$, β and γ are concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$.
- The proof consists of two steps:

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha + \beta)^n = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha + \gamma)^n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

\Downarrow (simple algebra)

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

\Downarrow (complex analysis)

$$\beta = \gamma.$$

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \beta)^n = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \gamma)^n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$



$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \beta)^n = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \gamma)^n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$



$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- Induction with respect to n .

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \beta)^n = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha + \gamma)^n \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots$$



$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- Induction with respect to n .
- For $n = 0$:

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\beta^k) = 0 = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\gamma^k).$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \text{and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- By the binomial formula,

$$(\alpha + \beta)^{N+1} - (\alpha + \gamma)^{N+1} \\ = \alpha^{N+1} - \alpha^{N+1} \quad (j = 0)$$

$$+ (N+1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma) \quad (j = 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{N+1}{j} (\alpha^{N+1-j} * \beta^j - \alpha^{N+1-j} * \gamma^j)$$

$$+ \beta^{N+1} - \gamma^{N+1}. \quad (j = N+2)$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \text{and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- By the binomial formula,

zero on $(0, \infty)$ by the assumption

$$\overbrace{(\alpha + \beta)^{N+1} - (\alpha + \gamma)^{N+1}} \\ = \alpha^{N+1} - \alpha^{N+1} \quad (j = 0)$$

$$+ (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma) \quad (j = 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{N+1}{j} (\alpha^{N+1-j} * \beta^j - \alpha^{N+1-j} * \gamma^j)$$

$$+ \beta^{N+1} - \gamma^{N+1}. \quad (j = N + 2)$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \text{and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- By the binomial formula,

zero on $(0, \infty)$ by the assumption

$$\begin{aligned} & \overbrace{(\alpha + \beta)^{N+1} - (\alpha + \gamma)^{N+1}} \\ &= \underbrace{\alpha^{N+1} - \alpha^{N+1}}_{\text{zero on } \mathbb{R}} \quad (j = 0) \\ &+ (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma) \quad (j = 1) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{N+1}{j} (\alpha^{N+1-j} * \beta^j - \alpha^{N+1-j} * \gamma^j) \\ &+ \beta^{N+1} - \gamma^{N+1}. \quad (j = N + 2) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ \text{and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

- By the binomial formula,

zero on $(0, \infty)$ by the assumption

$$\overbrace{(\alpha + \beta)^{N+1} - (\alpha + \gamma)^{N+1}} \\ = \underbrace{\alpha^{N+1} - \alpha^{N+1}}_{\text{zero on } \mathbb{R}} \quad (j = 0)$$

$$+ (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma) \quad (j = 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{N+1}{j} \underbrace{(\alpha^{N+1-j} * \beta^j - \alpha^{N+1-j} * \gamma^j)}_{\text{zero on } (0, \infty) \text{ by the induction hypothesis}}$$

$$+ \beta^{N+1} - \gamma^{N+1}. \quad (j = N + 2)$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma^k) \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

and $k = 1, 2, \dots$

- By the binomial formula,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{zero on } (0, \infty) \text{ by the assumption} \\ & \overbrace{(\alpha + \beta)^{N+1} - (\alpha + \gamma)^{N+1}} \\ & = \underbrace{\alpha^{N+1} - \alpha^{N+1}}_{\text{zero on } \mathbb{R}} \quad (j = 0) \\ & + (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma) \quad (j = 1) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^N \binom{N+1}{j} \underbrace{(\alpha^{N+1-j} * \beta^j - \alpha^{N+1-j} * \gamma^j)}_{\text{zero on } (0, \infty) \text{ by the induction hypothesis}} \\ & + \underbrace{\beta^{N+1} - \gamma^{N+1}}_{\text{zero on } (0, \infty)} \quad (j = N + 2) \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, $0 = (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma)$ on $(0, \infty)$.

- Thus, $0 = (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma)$ on $(0, \infty)$.
- This is the desired result for $n = N$, $k = 1$.

- Thus, $0 = (N + 1)(\alpha^N * \beta - \alpha^N * \gamma)$ on $(0, \infty)$.
- This is the desired result for $n = N$, $k = 1$.
- Larger values of k : induction within induction.

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(a^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(a^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1.$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,
$$\sigma * \beta = (\mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0]}\sigma) * \beta + (\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}\sigma) * \beta$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\underbrace{(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1})}_{\sigma} * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K - 1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \parallel \\ & \quad \quad \quad \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma) * \gamma^{K-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma) * \gamma^{K-1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^k) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^k) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, K-1.$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^K) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^{K-1}) * \beta) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \beta) * \gamma^{K-1}) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}((\alpha^N * \gamma) * \gamma^{K-1}) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma) * \gamma^{K-1}) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^N * \gamma^K). \end{aligned}$$

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$



$$\beta = \gamma.$$

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$



$$\beta = \gamma.$$

- Equivalently: it is not possible to have

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma)) = 0 \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta - \gamma \neq 0$.

- We prove that

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \beta) = \mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * \gamma) \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$



$$\beta = \gamma.$$

- Equivalently: it is not possible to have

$$\mathbb{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma)) = 0 \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta - \gamma \neq 0$.

- We proceed by contradiction.

- We know that $\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma)$ is concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

- We know that $\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma)$ is concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- Define analytic extensions of characteristic functions:

$$f(z) = \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{izt} \alpha(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0)$$

$$g(z) = \int_{(-\infty, 0]} e^{izt} (\beta - \gamma)(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0)$$

$$h_n(z) = \int_{(-\infty, 0]} e^{izt} (\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma))(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0).$$

- We know that $\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma)$ is concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- Define analytic extensions of characteristic functions:

$$f(z) = \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{izt} \alpha(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0)$$

$$g(z) = \int_{(-\infty, 0]} e^{izt} (\beta - \gamma)(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0)$$

$$h_n(z) = \int_{(-\infty, 0]} e^{izt} (\alpha^n * (\beta - \gamma))(dt) \quad (\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0).$$

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{C}_{\pm} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : g(z) = 0\}, \quad \text{(closed, null)}$$

$$B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : g(z) = 0\}. \quad \text{(discrete)}$$

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{C}_\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : g(z) = 0\}, \quad (\text{closed, null})$$

$$B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : g(z) = 0\}. \quad (\text{discrete})$$

- Define

$$\varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{C}_\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : g(z) = 0\}, \quad \text{(closed, null)}$$

$$B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : g(z) = 0\}. \quad \text{(discrete)}$$

- Define

$$\varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Then φ_n is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup B)$, meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.

- We know that

$$(f(z))^n g(z) = h_n(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Let $\mathbb{C}_\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pm \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ and

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : g(z) = 0\}, \quad (\text{closed, null})$$

$$B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_- : g(z) = 0\}. \quad (\text{discrete})$$

- Define

$$\varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Then φ_n is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup B)$, meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.
- We have $\varphi_n(z) = (\varphi_1(z))^n$ for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup B)$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Let $z \in B$ be a pole of φ_1 of degree k .

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Let $z \in B$ be a pole of φ_1 of degree k .
- Then z is a pole of φ_n of degree nk .

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Let $z \in B$ be a pole of φ_1 of degree k .
- Then z is a pole of φ_n of degree nk .
- Thus, z it is a zero of g of degree at least nk .

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Let $z \in B$ be a pole of φ_1 of degree k .
- Then z is a pole of φ_n of degree nk .
- Thus, z it is a zero of g of degree at least nk .
- This is not possible when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- Let $z \in B$ be a pole of φ_1 of degree k .
- Then z is a pole of φ_n of degree nk .
- Thus, z it is a zero of g of degree at least nk .
- This is not possible when $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- Therefore, φ_n has no poles in \mathbb{C}_- : it is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The functions h_n and g are bounded in \mathbb{C}_- . Each of them can be uniquely written as a product of:
 - ▶ an outer function $O(z)$,
 - ▶ a singular inner function $S(z)$,
 - ▶ a Blaschke product $B(z)$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The functions h_n and g are bounded in \mathbb{C}_- . Each of them can be uniquely written as a product of:
 - ▶ an outer function $O(z)$,
 - ▶ a singular inner function $S(z)$,
 - ▶ a Blaschke product $B(z)$.
- The function $\varphi_n = (\varphi_1)^n$ is of bounded type (a.k.a. Nevanlinna class) in \mathbb{C}_- , and thus it has a similar unique factorisation.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- Similarly for h_n and φ_n , but λ_{φ_n} is signed and $a_{\varphi_n} \in \mathbb{R}$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- Similarly for h_n and φ_n , but λ_{φ_n} is signed and $a_{\varphi_n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Necessarily, $|S_{\varphi_1}(z)|^n = |S_{\varphi_n}(z)| = \frac{|S_{h_n}(z)|}{|S_g(z)|}$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- Similarly for h_n and φ_n , but λ_{φ_n} is signed and $a_{\varphi_n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Necessarily, $|S_{\varphi_1}(z)|^n = |S_{\varphi_n}(z)| = \frac{|S_{h_n}(z)|}{|S_g(z)|}$.
- Thus, $na_{\varphi_1} = a_{\varphi_n} = a_{h_n} - a_g$ and $n\lambda_{\varphi_1} = \lambda_{\varphi_n} = \lambda_{h_n} - \lambda_g$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- Similarly for h_n and φ_n , but λ_{φ_n} is signed and $a_{\varphi_n} \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Necessarily, $|S_{\varphi_1}(z)|^n = |S_{\varphi_n}(z)| = \frac{|S_{h_n}(z)|}{|S_g(z)|}$.
- Thus, $na_{\varphi_1} = a_{\varphi_n} = a_{h_n} - a_g$ and $n\lambda_{\varphi_1} = \lambda_{\varphi_n} = \lambda_{h_n} - \lambda_g$.
- Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $a_{\varphi_1} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{\varphi_1} \geq 0$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- The singular inner function S_g corresponding to g satisfies

$$|S_g(z)| = \exp\left(a_g \operatorname{Im} z - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \lambda_g(dx)\right)$$

for some singular measure $\lambda_g \geq 0$ and $a_g \geq 0$.

- Similarly for h_n and φ_n , but λ_{φ_n} is signed and $a_{\varphi_n} \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Necessarily, $|S_{\varphi_1}(z)|^n = |S_{\varphi_n}(z)| = \frac{|S_{h_n}(z)|}{|S_g(z)|}$.

- Thus, $na_{\varphi_1} = a_{\varphi_n} = a_{h_n} - a_g$ and $n\lambda_{\varphi_1} = \lambda_{\varphi_n} = \lambda_{h_n} - \lambda_g$.

- Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $a_{\varphi_1} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{\varphi_1} \geq 0$.

- That is, S_{φ_1} is bounded on \mathbb{C}_- .

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- An outer function O_{φ_1} in the factorisation of φ_1 satisfies

$$|O_{\varphi_1}(z)| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \log |\varphi_1(x)| dx\right).$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- An outer function O_{φ_1} in the factorisation of φ_1 satisfies

$$|O_{\varphi_1}(z)| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \log |\varphi_1(x)| dx\right).$$

- Since $\varphi_1(x) = \frac{h_1(x)}{g(x)} = f(x)$ a.e. (on $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$), $O_{\varphi_1}(z)$ is bounded.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- An outer function O_{φ_1} in the factorisation of φ_1 satisfies

$$|O_{\varphi_1}(z)| = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-\operatorname{Im} z}{|z-x|^2} \log |\varphi_1(x)| dx\right).$$

- Since $\varphi_1(x) = \frac{h_1(x)}{g(x)} = f(x)$ a.e. (on $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$), $O_{\varphi_1}(z)$ is bounded.
- It follows that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- .

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- and in \mathbb{C}_+ , and hence in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- and in \mathbb{C}_+ , and hence in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.
- Painlevé's theorem asserts that φ_1 extends to a bounded entire function.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- and in \mathbb{C}_+ , and hence in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.
- Painlevé's theorem asserts that φ_1 extends to a bounded entire function.
- As a consequence, φ_1 is constant.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- and in \mathbb{C}_+ , and hence in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.
- Painlevé's theorem asserts that φ_1 extends to a bounded entire function.
- As a consequence, φ_1 is constant.
- Thus, f is constant.

- We have

$$(\varphi_1(z))^n = \varphi_n(z) = \begin{cases} (f(z))^n & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{h_n(z)}{g(z)} & \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}_- \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

- We know that φ_1 is a bounded analytic function in \mathbb{C}_- and in \mathbb{C}_+ , and hence in $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$.
- Painlevé's theorem asserts that φ_1 extends to a bounded entire function.
- As a consequence, φ_1 is constant.
- Thus, f is constant.
- But f is the characteristic function of a measure α concentrated on $(0, \infty)$, it cannot be constant.